

Law Offices of
SUSAN CHANA LASK

244 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2369
New York, N.Y. 10001

(917) 300-1958

www.appellate-brief.com

Granted. Plaintiff's filing at Dkt. 519, which adds nothing of substance, is an improper sur-sur-reply. Dkt. 519 is deemed stricken from the record.

VIA ECF

March 27, 2025

Honorable Robert W. Lehrburger
United States Magistrate Judge
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, New York 10007

SO ORDERED:

4/2/2025



HON. ROBERT W. LEHRBURGER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Re: Rhee-Karn v. Lask, Case No.: 15-cv-9946

Dear Magistrate Judge Lehrburger:

This office represents the defendant in the above-captioned matter, and respectfully requests the court strike *sua sponte* Plaintiff's filing at docket 519 as a prohibited sur-sur-reply.

On December 29, 2022, Plaintiff filed a motion for legal fees and on January 25, 2025, Defendant filed opposition. DE 494, 500. After Plaintiff filed a February 19, 2025 reply raising new matter, this court granted Defendant's request to file a sur-reply that was filed March 7, 2025. DE 503, 505.

The problem here is that on March 11, 2025, Plaintiff filed a sur-sur-reply without permission and prohibited by law and this court's rules. DE 519. I ask that the court "So Order" this letter that DE 519 is stricken as I believe a motion to strike would waste more valuable court time and defendant's counsel's time. Nor does a motion appear to be needed considering the obvious impropriety of the sur-sur-reply.

Thank you for your time.

Very truly yours,

LAW OFFICES OF SUSAN CHANA LASK

/s/ Susan Chana Lask
SUSAN CHANA LASK